

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,779	07/15/2003	Prem S. Paul	704627-5004	5988	
23639	7590 10/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			HILL, MYRON G		
18 FLOOR	ARCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-4067		1648		
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Analia-Alam Na						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/618,779	PAUL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Myron G. Hill	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, howeve vill apply and will expire SIX, cause the application to be	IMUNICATION. r, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .						
<i>'</i>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 54-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 54-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from considerati						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/28/04. 	5) 🔲 No	ther:					

Application/Control Number: 10/618,779

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of ISU-55 in the reply filed on 8/11/06 is acknowledged. The requirement for cell type is withdrawn and the election of virus is all that is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

A signed and initialed copy of the IDS paper filed 12/28/04 is enclosed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,779

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 54-56 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6110467. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following:

The claims of the application and the patent are drawn to an isolate ISU-55 of PRRSV which is attenuated or inactivated and comprises an acceptable carrier. While the patent does not claim a cell type, the specification of the patent discloses that the virus was passaged in PSP 36 SAH cells (Experiment 5). The application claims are a species of the patented genus.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner 10/25/06

> BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600